

International Congress on Energy Efficiency and Energy Related Materials

Liberty Hotels Lykia, Oludeniz- Turkey

October 14-20 2020



Microalgae culture for biodiesel production using agricultural residues as culture medium

García-Moreno L. R.¹, <u>Rodríguez-Palacio Mónica Cristina^{*2}</u>, Guerra-Ramírez Diana¹, Reyes-Trejo B¹ y Márquez-Berber S. R³.

¹Natural Products Laboratory, Chemistry Area, Agricultural High School Department. Universidad Autónoma Chapingo, ². Applied Phycology Laboratory, Department of Hydrobiology. Universidad Autónoma Metropolitana, Unidad Iztapalapa. ³Departament of Phytotechny. Universidad Autónoma Chapingo.

*Email: mony@xanum.uam.mx

INTRODUCTION: In rural areas, due to poor management of agricultural waste, high pollution problems are generated which cause eutrophication and contamination of aquifers. An alternative to this problem can be the bioremediation of these effluents using microalgae cultures. Microalgae are autotrophic microorganisms that occupy the first link in the food chain, and in recent years there has been an increase in research on their uses and applications due to the large amount of bioproducts and benefits we can obtain from them. Bioremediation work with microalgae can help improve the quality of effluents and generate a lipid-rich biomass for the production of biofuels. In this work we use cultures of the microalgae Scenedesmus dimorphus and Neochloris oleoabundans, to bioremediate effluents from the agricultural industry and we analyze the algal biomass to determine if it is optimal for the production of biofuels.



Material and Methods. Aqueous extracts were prepared from lamb,







rabbit and chicken manure (Figure1) and leached from the worm compost, these were irradiated with UV light for 48 hours. Microalgae strains were obtained from the Laboratory of Applied Phycology of UAM Iztapalapa and were scaled up to 16L biorectors (Figure 2). Cell growth was determined by counting in a Neubauer chamber, and pigment, protein, carbohydrate and lipid analyses were performed. Oil extraction was performed with a Soxhlet using hexane as a solvent, during 16 hours at reflux (Figure 3). For the alkaline transesterification, methanol was used together with potassium hydroxide as catalyst and oil in order to separate glycerin from triglycerides and obtain methyl esters. After this, caloric index tests were performed on a Parr Model 6400 calorimeter to determine its potential with respect to diesel. The profile of fatty acids was made by gas chromatography.

Results and Discussion: The microalgae grew well in the different types of organic leachates, achieving ammonia removal levels of up to 99% in the case of rabbit waste and 95% in the case of lamb waste. The algal biomass harvested from the bioremediation processes gave interesting results in terms of fatty acid composition, which indicates the potential for biodiesel production from it. In the European Union standard EN 14214, it is indicated that the fatty acids with the highest content should be those with long chains and a high degree of saturation such as: Palmitoleic, Oleic and Myristic. In Scenedesmus and Neochloris we can see a profile of optimal oils for the production of biodiesel. The predominant fatty acids are polyunsaturated and a good amount of monounsaturated fatty acids (Tables 1, 2).

Table 1. Fatty acid profile of the Scenedesmus dimorphus						Table 2. Fatty acid profile of the Neochloris oleoabundans					
Acid	Content %	Acid	Content %	Acid	Content %	Acid	Content %	Acid	Content %	Acid	Content %
Palmitic	11,203	Palmitic	10,906	Palmitic	9,151	Palmitic	9,077	Palmitic	11,592	Palmitic	9,359
Stearic	4.7	Stearic	4.808	Stearic	3.8424			Palmitoleico	0,139		
Oleic	22,586	Oleic	23 132	Oleic	35,406	Stearic	3,774	Stearic	4,462	Stearic	3,817
Linoleum	50 623	Linoleum	40.064	Linoleico	40 566	Oleic	33,579	Oleic	23,407	Oleic	34,436
Linolonic	50,025 C 101	Linolonic	40,004 6 792		40,500	Linoleum	41,502	Linoleum	49,025	Linoleum	40,566
LINOIENIC	0,404		0.762		0.475	Linolenic	6,735	Linolenic	6,709	Linolenic	6,544
		Arachidico	0,356	Arachidico	0,475	Arachidico	0,418	Arachidico	0,32	Arachidico	0,459
Behenico	0,335	Behenico	0,493	Behenico	0,445	Behenico	0,382	Behenico	0,417	Behenico	0,417
Total	95,931	Total	86,541	Total	89,8854	Total	95.467	Total	96.071	Total	95.598
Saturated	16,238	Saturated	16,563	Saturated	13,9134	Saturated	13.651	Saturated	16,791	Saturated	14.052
Monounsaturated	22,586	Monounsaturate	d 23,132	Monounsaturated	35,406	Monounsaturated	33.579	Monounsaturated	23.546	Monounsaturated	34.436
Polyunsaturated	57,107	Polyunsaturated 46,846		Polyunsaturated	40,566	Polyunsaturated	48,237	Polyunsaturated	55,734	Polyunsaturated	47,11

Table 1. Fatty acid profile of the Scenedesmus dimorphus						Table 2. Fatty acid profile of the Neochloris oleoabundans					
Acid	Content %	Acid	Content %	Acid	Content %	Acid	Content %	Acid	Content %	Acid	Content %
Palmitic	11,203	Palmitic	10,906	Palmitic	9,151	Palmitic	9,077	Palmitic	11,592	Palmitic	9,359
Stearic	4,7	Stearic	4,808	Stearic	3,8424			Palmitoleico	0,139		
Oleic	22.586	Oleic	23.132	Oleic	35.406	Stearic	3,774	Stearic	4,462	Stearic	3,817
Linoleum	50 623	Linoleum	40.064	Linoleico	40 566	Oleic	33,579	Oleic	23,407	Oleic	34,436
Linolenic	6 / 8/	Linolenic	6 782		10,000	Linoleum	41,502	Linoleum	49,025	Linoleum	40,566
Emolerne	0,404	Arachidico	0.762	Arachidico	0.475	Linolenic	6,735	Linolenic	6,709	Linolenic	6,544
Dahaniaa	0.225	Araciliuico	0,330	Alachiuico	0,475	Arachidico	0,418	Arachidico	0,32	Arachidico	0,459
Benenico	0,335	Benenico	0,493	Benenico	0,445	Behenico	0,382	Behenico	0,417	Behenico	0,417
Total	95,931	L Total 86,541		Total	89,8854	Total	95,467	Total	96,071	Total	95,598
Saturated	16,238	Saturated	16,563	Saturated	13,9134	Saturated	13.651	Saturated	16.791	Saturated	14.052
Monounsaturated	22,586	Monounsaturated	23,132	Monounsaturated	35,406	Monounsaturated	33,579	Monounsaturated	23.546	Monounsaturated	34,436
Polyunsaturated	57,107	Polyunsaturated 46,846		Polyunsaturated	40,566	Polyunsaturated	48,237	Polyunsaturated	55,734	Polyunsaturated	47,11



-	N.	10			
			1		
-	-				
3 35	22			ŧ	

Table 3. Oil and biodiesel production yields of the two microalgae in the different culture r	nedia
---	-------

Microalgae	Culture media	Weight of biomass	Oil performance	% Oil performance	Performance of biodiesel (g)	% Performance of biodiesel	- Come
S. dimorphus	Bayfoland forte	12,4	3,3	26,5	1,9	15,7	
S. dimorphus	Lamb waste	10,4	2,9	27,8	1,2	12,,4	P
S. dimorphus	Rabbit waste	18,4	4,7	25,7	1,8	9,8	
N. oleoabundans	Bayfoland forte	14,7	5,2	37,8	2,9	20	
N. oleoabundans	Lamb waste	22,5	8,5	37,9	5,6	25,1	
N. oleoabundans	Rabbit waste	9,6	4,5	47	3,5	36,4	



 Table 4. Combustion Entalpy. Comparison between
petrodiesel and biodiesel resulting from this investigation

Microalgae	Culture media	Calorific Index KJ/g	Comparison with		
			diesel %		
S. dimorphus	Bayfoland forte	38,97	93,05		
S. dimorphus	Lamb waste	38,09	90,95		
S. dimorphus	Rabbit waste	38,37	91,62		
	$\mathbf{D} = (1, 1, 1, 0, 1)$	2(70)	07.05		

Conclusions: The results of the combustion enthalpy between petrodiesel and biodiesel resulting from this research are promising, and comparing the results in oil and biodiesel performances that we obtained in this work makes us think about microalgae as an ecologically sustainable feedstock. The culture media used turned out to be efficient for the production of algal biomass, so we plan to continue working with these effluents now



